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Abstract
This article examines the role that Arthur von Hippel played in magnetism work in the 1950s. Von Hippel understood that the ferrimagnetic insulators represented by the ferrospinels, magnetoplumbites, and ferrogarnets were critical for the high-frequency technology that was being developed after World War II. At the Laboratory for Insulation Research at MIT, he and his students concentrated on the response of these materials to electric and magnetic excitations over a wide frequency range that extended, with gaps, from dc to the ultraviolet. For magnetic studies, he used microwave frequencies to obtain resonance and relaxation data that could be interpreted because the magnetic spins are relatively loosely coupled to their surroundings. He supplemented these resonance studies with classical magnetometer, transport, and x-ray diffraction measurements on single-crystal samples in order to obtain fundamental information that would aid in the design of materials for technical applications.
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Introduction
During World War II, Arthur von Hippel established the Laboratory for Insulation Research at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, where he performed his pioneering work on BaTiO₃ and other ferroelectrics. During that same period in Europe, J.L. Snoek and collaborators at the Philips Research Laboratories in Eindhoven, L. Néel with E.F. Bertaut and R. Pauthenet at the Institut Fourier in Grenoble, and C. Guillaud in Paris were quietly working on the ferrospinels MFe₂O₄, where M is a divalent cation. Trivalent cations or M(IV) + M(II) pairs were also substituted for Fe(III). Many of these spinels were ferrimagnetic insulators of interest for high-frequency applications such as microwave devices, magnetostriuctive transducers, and magnetic memory cores. It was therefore natural that the Laboratory for Insulation Research under von Hippel should shift some activity from ferroelectric to ferrimagnetic oxides in the 1950s. During this period, the advent of nuclear reactors, which can provide a beam of neutrons, made possible neutron diffraction and therefore the direct determination of atomic magnetic order below the Curie temperature T_c, a development that would transform the study of magnetic materials. Moreover, the discovery of the hexagonal magnetoplumbites (xBaO-yFe₂O₃-zMO) exhibiting a large magnetocrystalline anisotropy useful for hard (permanent) magnets and the low-loss ferrogarnets R₃Fe₅O₁₂ (R = rare earth or yttrium) added to the intense international activity in this field, a field that brought together engineers, physicists, and chemists/ceramists to understand better how to design materials that would enable a variety of new technologies. In this way, the field proved an incubator for the development of a cadre of scientists who would help to create the field of materials science and engineering that we know today.

The A[B₂]O₄ spinel structure is illustrated in Figure 1; it contains tetrahedral A sites and octahedral B sites in a close-packed oxide–ion array. The ferrospinels generally have collinear spins at the cations; the A-site and B-site spins are coupled antiparallel to one another, but the magnitudes of the magnetizations generated by the two sites are not equal, which gives a net ferrimagnetic moment \( M = |M_A| \neq |M_B| \). A long-range magnetic-ordering temperature \( T>300 \text{ K} \) makes the ferrospinels useful in room-temperature applications.

In 1952, I joined a group at the MIT Lincoln Laboratory charged with developing a ceramic ferrispinel with a square B–H hysteresis loop and a read–rewrite switching cycle under 6 µs for the random-access memory invented by Jay Forrester. Our success in this endeavor proved an important stepping stone for the development of the digital computer. Arthur von Hippel followed our work with enthusiasm; he was a most gracious link to the MIT campus.

Magnetite: The Verwey Transition
At the Laboratory for Insulation Research (LIR), von Hippel had decided to begin a series of fundamental studies on the ferrospinels with single crystals of what chemically appears to be the simplest of the family, Fe₃O₄, but which, as will be discussed, has proven to be the most difficult to fully understand even though it had been used as far back as the ancient Greeks as a compass needle for navigation. In von Hippel’s laboratory, Smidtens and Fryklund had, by 1950, grown the first single crystals of stoichiometric magnetite, Fe₃O₄. At the time, this feat required a sound knowledge of the oxygen equilibrium as a function of temperature. Smidtens’ crystals were used by many of von Hippel’s students and postdoctoral fellows to study the insulator–metal transition found near the Verwey temperature \( T_v \approx 120 \text{ K} \) (see Figure 2, from Miles, Westphal, and von Hippel). The transition was first noted by Millar in 1929 with a specific-heat measurement, but it is now commonly known as the Verwey transition; it occurs well below \( T_v = 558 \text{ K} \).

In 1950, most physicists were still enamored of the point-charge model for
ionic crystals, and all the 3d electrons of the ferrospinel, including the minority-spin electrons at the Fe$^{2+}$ ions of Fe$_3$O$_6$ were assumed to be localized. Therefore, the good room-temperature conductivity of Fe$_3$O$_6$ led Verwey to conclude that Fe$_3$O$_6$ must be an inverse spinel, that is, have the cation distribution Fe$^{3+}$[Fe$^{2+}$Fe$^{3+}$]O$_4$, which would allow electrons to hop between crystallographically equivalent sites. On the basis of a change from axial to cubic symmetry at $T_V$, Verwey further postulated a low-temperature ordering of the Fe$^{3+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ ions onto alternate [100] and [010] rows of octahedral-site iron atoms. This model predicts orthorhombic symmetry for the low-temperature phase. It was this model that von Hippel's group set out to verify experimentally.

In 1951, Tombs and Rooksby reported that Fe$_3$O$_6$ is rhombohedral below $T_V$, in apparent contradiction of the Verwey prediction. However, in von Hippel's laboratory, Abrahams and Calhoun concluded from their x-ray diffraction data that the symmetry is orthorhombic or lower, and Bickford found deformations consistent with orthorhombic symmetry by using strain-gauge measurements on a Smiltens single crystal that had been made single-domain below $T_V$ by applying a uniaxial pressure along a [110] direction and cooling though $T_V$ in the presence of a strong magnetic field applied along the c-axis. Hamilton, another von Hippel student, performed a neutron diffraction study on a Smiltens magnetite single crystal at the Brookhaven National Laboratory to provide an apparent confirmation of orthorhombic symmetry below $T_V$, the Néel collinear ferrimagnetic spin configuration, and an easy magnetization axis along the cubic [001] axis lying close to the direction of a magnetic field applied during cooling; below $T_V$, that axis becomes the orthorhombic c-axis. With independent measurements, Bickford and Calhoun had already established the finding that below $T_V$, the [001] axis becomes the orthorhombic c-axis, which was first brought partially to light in 1932 by Li. As a result of these studies, it was believed at the time that the Verwey model gave a correct description of Fe$_3$O$_6$. However, in 1970 Hargrove and Kündig published Mössbauer data showing an octahedral-site valence at room-temperature corresponding to Fe$^{25+}$; the conductive electrons were thus shown to hop from site to site in a time $\tau_0 < 10^{-8}$ s. Moreover, below $T_V$, five peaks in the spectra could be distinguished, one for the A-site Fe$^{3+}$ ions and four for the B-site ions. This result and early resistivity data of Calhoun together with Hall effect and thermoelectric power data suggested to Cullen and Callen that an itinerant (nonlocalized) electron model should be used for the minority spin electrons on the octahedral-site iron ions; the majority spin electrons are clearly localized.

It now appears that the minority spin electrons are small polarons (i.e., dressed in a local lattice distortion) in the paramagnetic phase as a result of spin-disorder scattering, but they become increasingly delocalized on lowering the temperature below $T_V$, as the octahedral-site spins become more ordered. For example, Figure 3 shows that the phonon contribution to the thermal conductivity is suppressed in the range $T_V < T < 300$ K, but is restored below $T_V$; this result is consistent with vibronic Fe–Fe bonding with orbital fluctuations above $T_V$, and orbital ordering with or without charge ordering below $T_V$. However, whether there is any charge ordering below $T_V$ remains a matter of controversy until the complexity of the low-temperature structure could be clarified. Recent resonant x-ray scattering has confirmed the monoclinic Cc space group deduced by Izumi et al. and Zuo et al. and has shown that all the octahedral-site Fe atoms have the same charge in the low-temperature phase. Therefore, Subías et al. have attributed the structural distortion to strong electron–phonon interactions. This result implies an orbital ordering that orders the Fe–Fe bonding between octahedral-site cations. A bond ordering that retains sharing of the minority spin electrons by more than one Fe atom is compatible with ferromagnetic Fe–Fe interactions on the octahedral-site array.

**Magnetocrystalline Anisotropy of Magnetite**

The availability of a Smiltens single crystal of Fe$_3$O$_6$, allowed Bickford in 1950 to study its magnetocrystalline anisotropy and $g$-factor (gyromagnetic ratio) by microwave resonance absorption. In a crystal of cubic symmetry, the anisotropy energy of the magnetization $\mathbf{M}$ can be expressed as

$$E_s = K_0 + K_1(\alpha_0^2\alpha_2^2 + \alpha_2^2\alpha_0^2 + \alpha_0^2\alpha_2^2) + K_2(\alpha_0^2\alpha_2^2\alpha_5^2)\alpha_0\ldots$$

(1)

where $K_0$, $K_1$, and $K_2$ are constants and $\alpha_0$, $\alpha_2$, and $\alpha_5$ are the direction cosines of $\mathbf{M}$ with respect to the crystal axes. Landau and Lifshitz had pointed out that this anisotropy leads to an effective magnetic field $H_{\text{eff}} = (K_0\mu_0M)$ for a single-domain single crystal if only the first-order term in Equation 1 is retained. Kittel derived an expression for the resonance frequency $\omega_0$, of the precession of the magnetization about an applied magnetic field for an ellipsoidal crystal with crystallographic
Arthur von Hippel understood the importance of making a systematic study with a wide range of experimental techniques on well-defined single crystals. In addition to x-ray diffraction, ferromagnetic resonance, transport measurements, and magnetometer studies, his group used dielectric spectroscopy and developed a vibrating-coil magnetometer, an upgraded version of the vibrating-coil magnetometer was used at the MIT Lincoln Laboratory for the measurement of magnetic properties under hydrostatic pressure.

Calhoun used the pendulum magnetometer to make torque measurements of the magnetocrystalline anisotropy of a Smiltens single crystal. He also monitored the switching of the magnetization direction in the (110) plane on heating after cooling in a field of 10 kOe at angles of 0°, 25°, and 40° to [001] to establish that the c-axis of the low-temperature phase is oriented along the cubic [001] axis nearest the direction of the magnetizing field if the crystal is cooled in a magnetic field. Calhoun also measured the magnetic-field strength required to switch the c-axis below Tc from a cubic [100] to a cubic [010] axis. With the assumption that the switching requires a reordering of the Fe3+ and Fe2+ ions in the Verwey model by a cooperative electron transfer, Calhoun deduced an activation energy U = 0.033 eV for the electron exchange. He also noted that the electronic reordering gave rise to significant dimensional changes. Some type of electron reordering below Tc is made evident by this experiment and later studies by others on low-temperature reordering in a magnetic field by light excitation. If there is no charge ordering, a reordering of the Fe–Fe bonding responsible for the crystalline distortion must be taking place.

### Cobalt-Substituted Magnetite
Substitution of Co2+ for Fe3+ in the spinel FeFeStCo1O4 was known to impart a large magnetocrystalline anisotropy and magnetostriiction that increased monotonically with x; the large magnetostriction would be used in magnetic transducers. In 1953, Calhoun and Bickford et al. initiated a study of the effect of the magnetostriiction imparted by Co on the permeability of magnetite. The changes with magnetic annealing on the magnetocrystalline anisotropy of Co-substituted magnetite were studied by Penoyer and Bickford on Smiltens single crystals.

Jahn and Teller had pointed out that if a localized-electron configuration at a molecule has an orbital degeneracy, the degeneracy can be removed by a lowering of the
site symmetry that orders the electron(s) among the orbitals. In a solid, a local site distortion costs an elastic strain energy, and this elastic energy is minimized by a cooperative orbital ordering at the ions having an orbital degeneracy. In an octahedral B site of a ferrospinel, the fivefold-degenerate $d$ orbitals are split by the cubic crystalline field into twofold-degenerate $\sigma$-bonding $e$ orbitals and threefold-degenerate $\pi$-bonding $t$ orbitals. The concept of cooperative orbital ordering of twofold-degenerate $\sigma$-bonding $e$ orbitals was only introduced in 1955 to account for the cubic to tetragonal ($c/a > 1$) transition in spinels containing octahedral-site Mn(III) and/or Cu(II) in excess of a critical concentration.\(^{28}\) This concept had not yet been extended to ordering of threefold-degenerate, $\pi$-bonding $t^6$ or $t^5$ configurations. Only after 1957 was it appreciated that a collinear ordering of the octahedral-site spins below $T_K$ in a ferrimagnetic ferrospinel would, through spin-orbit coupling, result in a cooperative orbital ordering of a $t^6$ configuration that enhanced the orbital angular momentum even where the concentration of Co\(^{2+}\) ions is small.\(^{29-31}\) The trigonal crystal field at an octahedral site of the spinel structure stabilizes an orbital ordering that suppresses the orbital angular momentum at an Fe\(^{3+}\) ion, so the Co\(^{2+}\) ion is unique in its ability to impart a giant magnetostriiction and magnetocrystalline anisotropy to a ferrospinel. Moreover, in 1955 few, if any, were aware of the distinction between exchange striction, which reflects the magnetic order, and magnetostriiction, which reflects the crystallographic direction of the spin.

The initial permeability versus temperature curve of magnetite exhibits a sharp maximum at the magnetic isotropic temperature $T_{NI} = 130\, K$, where the easy magnetization axis changes. It was known that substitution of Co for Fe causes the maximum in the permeability to increase. Bickford et al.\(^{29}\) used strain gauges to measure, from 120 K to room temperature, the magnetostriiction coefficients of a series of Co-substituted magnetite single crystals. From the structural changes that occur on crossing $T_N$, which were amplified by the Co substitutions, they were able to demonstrate that the maximum in the initial permeability tracks $T_N$ and that $T_N$ increases nearly linearly by 140°C/mol% Co. A further deduction to be drawn from this experiment is that each Co\(^{3+}\) ion exerts an independent magnetocrystalline anisotropy energy favoring spin alignment along a [100] axis as a result of long-range cooperativity through spin-orbit coupling.

Penoyer and Bickford\(^{29}\) investigated the variation under a magnetic anneal of the magnetocrystalline anisotropy of Co-substituted magnetite; they annealed a Smiltes single crystal at 375°C in a magnetic field of 10 kOe oriented at an angle $\theta$, from a cubic [001] axis in the (100) and (110) planes and from [110] in the (111) plane. They used torque measurements made with a torque magnetometer at the IBM Research Center\(^{32}\) to obtain an anisotropy energy

$$W_A = K_1 (\alpha^2 \alpha^2 + \alpha^2 \alpha^2 + \alpha^2 \alpha^2) + W_U,$$

(4)

where the uniaxial component induced by the magnetic anneal was

$$W_U = -F \sum_i \alpha_i \beta_i - G \sum_{i>j} \alpha_i \alpha_j \beta_i \beta_j.$$

(5)

The $F$ and $G$ are constants, and $\alpha_i$ and $\beta_i$ are the direction cosines of the magnetization during the torque measurement and during the annealing process, respectively. Their data showed that the coefficients $F$ and $G$ of Equation 5 vary quadratically and linearly, respectively, with the Co concentration in $x$ Fe\(^{2+}$$[Fe^{2+}Fe^{3+}]O_4$. This finding enabled them to rule out theories that depended on cation diffusion and to introduce the concept that the individual Co\(^{3+}\) ion sites have, below $T_N$, a symmetry axis that responds to a magnetic anneal by a cooperative reorientation of their axes to align themselves with the magnetizing field. This experiment showed a clear connection between a giant magnetostriiction and magnetocrystalline anisotropy introduced by individual octahedral-site Co\(^{3+}\) ions.

### Site-Preference Energies

Another topic of general interest was the origin of the preferences of ions for the octahedral versus the tetrahedral sites in a spinel. From electrostatic arguments, the cation of larger charge should be stabilized on the octahedral sites of a spinel. The quadrivalent ions are found on the octahedral sites, but V(V) occupies a tetrahedral site in V$[LiCo]$O$_4$ and V$[LiNi]$O$_4$ because of its strong tetrahedral-site preference. Of more immediate interest were the II–III spinels. Electrostatic arguments predicted normal spinels, A$^2$B$^{2+}$O$_6$, but magnetite proved to be an inverse spinel, Fe$^{2+}$Fe$^{3+}$O$_4$. From the crystal-field splitting, it was apparent that the transition-metal cations with $d^8$ low-spin $d^5$, and high-spin $d^6$ configurations have a strong octahedral-site preference and that the larger crystal-field splitting at an octahedral site could give high-spin Fe$^{2+}$ and Co$^{3+}$ ions a small octahedral-site preference. However, the observation that Mg$_{Fe^{2+}}$$_{Fe^{3+}}$O$_4$ is a mixed spinel was surprising, since Zn(Fe$^{2+}$)O$_4$ and Cd(Fe$^{2+}$)O$_4$ are normal spinels. This observation implies that cations with $d^10$ and high-spin $d^6$ configurations have a stronger tetrahedral-site preference than the more basic Mg$^{2+}$ ions and therefore that M–O covalence plays an important role; the point-charge model does not give an adequate description of the oxides.

At the LIR, Epstein and Frackiewicz\(^{32}\) measured as a function of temperature the fraction $x$ of Mg$^{2+}$ ions on the tetrahedral sites of Mg$_{Fe^{2+}}$$_{Fe^{3+}}$O$_4$. They did this by studying the cation distribution at room temperature after the samples had been quenched from different annealing temperatures. They also obtained kinetic data by measuring the time for the cation distribution to reach equilibrium at 600°C after quenching from different temperatures.

The "After-Effect" in Iron Garnets

The oxygen stoichiometric polycrystalline iron garnets $YFe_2O_4$ and Lu$_2$Fe$_2O$_3 show normal behavior, but those that are only slightly reduced (or oxidized) exhibit several unusual properties: a uniaxial magnetocrystalline anisotropy that is induced by annealing in a magnetic field, a domain-wall relaxation, and Hysteresis loops that vary with the frequency of the applied magnetic field at liquid-nitrogen temperature. Epstein et al.\(^{36}\) found a uniaxial anisotropy energy as high as 450 J/m$^2$ in a polycrystalline sample of Lu$_3$Fe$_5$O$_{12}$ that had been cooled in a magnetic field to 78 K. In a subsequent study of the "dynamic squareness" of the B–H hysteresis loop in polycrystalline garnets, Lovell and Epstein\(^{37}\) were able to conclude that all of these unusual properties could be explained by the Néel\(^{38}\) diffusion "after-effect" model in which the magnetic field induces a preferred ordering of the Fe$^{2+}$ ions with respect to the oxygen vacancy (or Fe$^{3+}$ with respect to a cation vacancy) that introduced them; electron (or hole) trapping occurs at lower temperatures. Any change in the orientation of the local magnetization, and specifically the reorientation that occurs within a moving domain wall, forces an irreversible redistribution of the mobile charge carriers. Since this reorientation extracts energy that is dissipated to the lattice, the moving domain walls behave as though they were retarded by a viscous drag. Janak\(^{39}\) added to this damping the intrinsic damping that is always present because of the spin–spin relaxation processes that occur within a moving domain wall. The Janak model of the damped re-
spins are relatively loosely coupled to their surroundings. He supplemented these resonance studies with classical magnetometer, transport, and x-ray diffraction measurements on single-crystal samples in order to obtain fundamental information that would aid in the design of materials for technical applications. In the process, he trained a cadre of students without taking undue credit to himself and became a father of modern materials science. The Materials Research Society has correctly recognized this role by naming its highest honor the Von Hippel Award.

References
29. J. Kanamori, Progr. Theoretical Physics (Kyoto) 17 (1957) p. 197.